arXiv:1409.3559v3 [math.GR] 22 Jan 2016

ON CLIFFORD THEORY WITH GALOIS ACTION

FRIEDER LADISCH

ABSTRACT. Let $\widehat{G}$ be a finite group, N a normal subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ and $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} N$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a subfield of the complex numbers and assume that the Galois orbit of ϑ over $\mathbb{F}$ is invariant in $\widehat{G}$. We show that there is another triple $(\widehat{G}_1, N_1, \vartheta_1)$ of the same form, such that the character theories of $\widehat{G}$ over ϑ and of $\widehat{G}_1$ over ϑ_1 are essentially "the same" *over the field* $\mathbb{F}$ and such that the following holds: $\widehat{G}_1$ has a cyclic normal subgroup C contained in N_1, such that $\vartheta_1 = \lambda^{N_1}$ for some linear character λ of C, and such that N_1/C is isomorphic to the (abelian) Galois group of the field extension $\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta_1)$. More precisely, having "the same" character theory means that both triples yield the same element of the Brauer-Clifford group $\operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$ defined by A. Turull.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** Clifford theory is concerned with the characters of a finite group lying over one fixed character of a normal subgroup. So let $\widehat{G}$ be a finite group and $N \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$ a normal subgroup. Let $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} N$, where $\operatorname{Irr} N$ denotes the set of irreducible complex valued characters of the group N, as usual. We write $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G} \mid \vartheta)$ for the set of irreducible characters of $\widehat{G}$ which lie above ϑ in the sense that their restriction to N has ϑ as constituent.

In studying $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G} \mid \vartheta)$, it is usually no loss of generality to assume that ϑ is invariant in $\widehat{G}$, using the well known *Clifford correspondence* [9, Theorem 6.11]. In this situation, $(\widehat{G}, N, \vartheta)$ is often called a character triple. Then a well known theorem tells us that there is an "isomorphic" character triple $(\widehat{G}_1, N_1, \vartheta_1)$ such that $N_1 \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\widehat{G}_1)$ [9, Theorem 11.28]. Questions about $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G} \mid \vartheta)$ can often be reduced to questions about $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G}_1 \mid \vartheta_1)$, which are usually easier to handle. This result is extremely useful, for example in reducing questions about characters of finite groups to questions about characters of finite simple or quasisimple groups.

Some of these questions involve Galois automorphisms or even Schur indices [13, 21] (over some fixed field $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, say). Unfortunately, both of the above reductions are not well behaved with respect to Galois action on characters and other rationality questions (like Schur indices of the involved characters). The first reduction (Clifford correspondence) can be replaced by a reduction to the case where ϑ is semi-invariant

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C15.

Key words and phrases. Brauer-Clifford group, Clifford theory, Character theory of finite groups, Galois theory, Schur indices.

Author partially supported by the DFG (Project: SCHU 1503/6-1).

over the given field $\mathbb{F}$ [15, Theorem 1]. (This means that the Galois orbit of ϑ is invariant in the group $\widehat{G}$.)

Now assuming that the character triple $(\widehat{G}, N, \vartheta)$ is such that ϑ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$ over some field $\mathbb{F}$, usually we can not find a character triple $(\widehat{G}_1, N_1, \vartheta_1)$ with $N_1 \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\widehat{G}_1)$, and such that these character triples are "isomorphic over the field $\mathbb{F}$". We will give an exact definition of "isomorphic over $\mathbb{F}$" below, using machinery developed by Alexandre Turull [23, 24]. For the moment, it suffices to say that a correct definition should imply that $\widehat{G}/N \cong \widehat{G}_1/N_1$ and that there is a bijection between $\bigcup_\alpha \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G} \mid \vartheta^\alpha)$ and $\bigcup_\alpha \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G}_1 \mid \vartheta_1^\alpha)$ (unions over a Galois group) commuting with field automorphisms over $\mathbb{F}$ and preserving Schur indices over $\mathbb{F}$. Now if, for example, $\mathbb{Q}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ (say), then it is clear that we can not have $\mathbb{Q}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{Q}(\vartheta_1)$ with ϑ_1 linear. The main result of this paper, as described in the abstract, provides a possible substitute: At least we can find an "isomorphic" character triple $(\widehat{G}_1, N_1, \vartheta_1)$, where N_1 is cyclic by abelian and ϑ_1 is induced from a cyclic normal subgroup of $\widehat{G}_1$. This result is probably the best one can hope for, if one wants to take into account Galois action and Schur indices.

1.2. **Notation.** To state the main result precisely, we need some notation. Instead of character triples, we find it more convenient to use Clifford pairs as introduced in [12]. We recall the definition. Let $\widehat{G}$ and G be finite groups and let $\kappa\colon \widehat{G} \to G$ be a surjective group homomorphism with kernel $\operatorname{Ker}\kappa = N$. Thus

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\kappa} G \longrightarrow 1$$

is an exact sequence, and $\widehat{G}/N \cong G$ via κ. We say that (ϑ, κ) is a **Clifford pair** over G. (Note that $\widehat{G}$, G and N are determined by κ as the domain, the image and the kernel of κ, respectively.) We usually want to compare different Clifford pairs over the same group G, but with different groups $\widehat{G}$ and N.

Let $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a field. (For simplicity of notation, we work with subfields of $\mathbb{C}$, the complex numbers, but of course one can replace $\mathbb{C}$ by any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and assume that all characters take values in this field.) Then $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} N$ is called **semi-invariant** in $\widehat{G}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ (where $N \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$), if for every $g \in \widehat{G}$, there is a field automorphism $\alpha = \alpha_g \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\vartheta^{g\alpha} = \vartheta$. In this situation, the map $g \mapsto \alpha_g$ actually defines an action of G on the field $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$ [8, Lemma 2.1].

To handle Clifford theory over small fields, Turull [23, 24] has introduced the **Brauer-Clifford group**. For the moment, it is enough to know that the Brauer-Clifford group is a certain set $\operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E})$ for any group G and any field $\mathbb{E}$ on which G acts. Given a Clifford pair (ϑ, κ) and a field $\mathbb{F}$ such that ϑ is semi-invariant over $\mathbb{F}$, the group G acts on $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$ and the Brauer-Clifford group $\operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$ is defined. Turull [23, Definition 7.7] shows how to associate a certain element $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \in \operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$ with (ϑ, κ) and $\mathbb{F}$. Moreover, if (ϑ, κ) and (ϑ_1, κ_1) are two pairs over G such that ϑ and ϑ_1 are semi-invariant over $\mathbb{F}$ and induce the

same action of G on $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta_1)$, and if $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\vartheta_1, \kappa_1, \mathbb{F}]\!]$, then the character theories of $\widehat{G}$ over ϑ and of $\widehat{G}_1$ over ϑ_1 are essentially "the same", including rationality properties over the field $\mathbb{F}$. (See [23, Theorem 7.12] for the exact statement.) This justifies it to view such Clifford pairs as "isomorphic over $\mathbb{F}$".

1.3. **Main result.** The following is the main result of this paper. For simplicity, we state it for subfields of the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, but in fact $\mathbb{C}$ can stand for any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, if all characters are assumed to take values in that fixed field $\mathbb{C}$. (As usual, $\operatorname{Lin} C$ denotes the set of *linear* characters of a group C.)

Theorem A. *Let $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a field, let*

$$1 \longrightarrow N \hookrightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\kappa} G \longrightarrow 1$$

be an exact sequence of finite groups and let $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} N$ be semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Then there is another exact sequence of finite groups

$$1 \longrightarrow N_1 \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} G \longrightarrow 1$$

and $\vartheta_1 \in \operatorname{Irr} N_1$, such that $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta_1)$ as G-fields, such that

$$[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\vartheta_1, \kappa_1, \mathbb{F}]\!] \quad \text{in} \quad \operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta)),$$

and such that the following hold:

(a) *$\widehat{G}_1$ has a cyclic normal subgroup $C \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}_1$ with $C \leqslant N_1$,*
(b) *there is a faithful $\lambda \in \operatorname{Lin} C$ with $\vartheta_1 = \lambda^{N_1}$,*
(c) *λ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}_1$ over $\mathbb{F}$ and*
(d) *$N_1/C \cong \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$.*

As mentioned before, Turull's result [23, Theorem 7.12] yields that in the situation of Theorem A, there are correspondences of characters with good compatibility properties. We mention a few properties here, and refer the reader to Turull's paper for a more complete list:

Corollary B. *In the situation of Theorem A, for each subgroup $H \leqslant G$ there is a bijection between*

$$\mathbb{Z}[\operatorname{Irr}(\kappa^{-1}(H) \mid \vartheta)] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}[\operatorname{Irr}(\kappa_1^{-1}(H) \mid \vartheta_1)].$$

The bijections can be chosen such that their union commutes with restriction and induction of characters, with field automorphisms over the field $\mathbb{F}$, and with multiplications of characters of H, and such that it preserves the inner product of class functions and fields of values and Schur indices (even elements in the Brauer group) over $\mathbb{F}$.

We can say something more about the group $\widehat{G}_1$ in the main theorem.

Proposition C. *In the situation of Theorem A and for* $\widehat{U}_1 = (\widehat{G}_1)_{\vartheta_1}$ *and* $V = (\widehat{G}_1)_\lambda$ *(the inertia groups of* ϑ_1 *and* λ *in* $\widehat{G}_1$*), we have* $\widehat{U}_1 = VN_1$ *and* $N_1 \cap V = C$ *(cf. Figure 1), and*

$$[\![\vartheta_1, (\kappa_1)_{|\widehat{U}_1}, \mathbb{F}(\lambda)]\!] = [\![\lambda, (\kappa_1)_{|V}, \mathbb{F}(\lambda)]\!].$$

For every subgroup X *with* $N_1 \leqslant X \leqslant \widehat{U}_1$*, induction yields a bijection*

$$\mathrm{Irr}(X \cap V \mid \lambda) \ni \psi \mapsto \psi^X \in \mathrm{Irr}(X \mid \vartheta)$$

commuting with field automorphisms over $\mathbb{F}(\lambda)$ *and preserving Schur indices over* $\mathbb{F}(\lambda)$*.*



FIGURE 1. Subgroups of $\widehat{G}_1$ in Theorem A

Observe that since λ is faithful, we actually have $V = \mathbf{C}_{\widehat{G}_1}(C)$ and $C \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(V)$. So over the bigger field $\mathbb{F}(\lambda)$ and in the smaller group $\widehat{U}_1$, we can replace the Clifford pair $(\vartheta_1, (\kappa_1)_{|\widehat{U}_1})$ by the even simpler pair $(\lambda, (\kappa_1)_{|V})$. This is, of course, just the classical result mentioned before, which is usually proved using the theory of projective representations and covering groups.

We will prove Proposition C at the end of Section 3 as a special case of other, auxiliary results, which are also needed for the proof of Theorem A. Everthing else in this paper is devoted to the proof of the main result, Theorem A, which is proved through a series of reductions.

1.4. **Relation to earlier results.** A number of people have studied Clifford theory over small fields, including Dade [2, 3, 4], Isaacs [8], Schmid [16, 17] and Riese [14], cf. [15]. While we use some ideas of these authors, most important for our paper is the theory of the Brauer-Clifford group as developed by Turull [23, 22, 24], which supersedes in some sense his earlier theory of Clifford classes [19]. In particular, it is essential for our proof that the Brauer-Clifford group and certain subsets of it are indeed groups, a fact which, it seems to me, has not been important in the applications of the Brauer-Clifford group [20, 27] so far.

A paper of Dade [2] contains, between the lines, a result similar to our main theorem (but in a more narrow situation): Dade studies the situation where (in

our notation) ϑ is invariant in $\widehat{G}$ and has values in $\mathbb{F}$. Then a cohomology class $[\vartheta] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{F}^*)$ is defined. This class determines part of the character theory of $\widehat{G}$ over ϑ, but not completely, since it does not take into account the Schur index of ϑ itself. After proving some properties of this cohomology class, Dade shows that all cohomology classes with these properties occur, by constructing examples. When examining this construction, one will find that the examples have almost the same properties as the group $\widehat{G}_1$ in Theorem A. One can deduce that all such cohomology classes come from character triples as in Theorem A.

Theorem A contains the classical result that every simple direct summand of the group algebra $\mathbb{E}N$ of a finite group N over a field $\mathbb{E}$ of characteristic zero is equivalent to a cyclotomic algebra [28]. (This is the case $G = 1$ of Theorem A.) Our proof of Theorem A uses ideas from the proof of this result, as presented by Yamada [28].

2. Review of the Brauer-Clifford group

Let us briefly recall the relevant definitions. Details can be found in the papers of Turull [23, 24], see also [6]. Let G be a group. A **G-ring** is a ring Z (with 1) together with an action of G on Z via (unital) ring automorphisms. We use exponential notation $z \mapsto z^g$ to denote such an action. Let Z be a commutative G-ring. A **G-algebra over** Z is a G ring A together with a homomorphism of G-rings $\varepsilon\colon Z \to \mathbf{Z}(A)$. This means that A is an algebra over Z and that the algebra unit $\varepsilon\colon Z \to A$ has the property $\varepsilon(z^g) = \varepsilon(z)^g$. We usually suppress mention of the algebra unit ε and simply write za for $\varepsilon(z)a$.

We only need G-algebras over fields in this paper. Let Z be a field on which G acts. A G-algebra over Z is called **central simple**, if it is central simple as algebra over Z. The **Brauer-Clifford group** $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, Z)$ is the set of equivalence classes of central simple G-algebras over Z under a certain equivalence relation. To define this equivalence relation, we need the **skew group ring** ZG of G over Z (also called the **crossed product**), which is the set of formal sums $\sum_{g\in G} gc_g$, $(c_g \in Z)$ with multiplication defined by

$$\Big(\sum_{g\in G} gc_g\Big)\Big(\sum_{h\in G} hd_h\Big) = \sum_{g,h} ghc_g^h d_h.$$

If V is a right ZG-module, then $\mathrm{End}_Z V$ is a G-algebra over Z, called a **trivial G-algebra**. Two G-algebras S and T over Z are called **equivalent**, if there are ZG-modules V and W such that

$$S \otimes_Z \mathrm{End}_Z V \cong T \otimes_Z \mathrm{End}_Z W$$

as G-algebras over Z. The Brauer-Clifford group $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, Z)$ is the set of equivalence classes of central simple G-algebras over Z, with multiplication induced by tensoring over Z.

The Brauer-Clifford group is an abelian torsion group [23, Theorem 3.10, 6, Theorem 5].

Next let $(\vartheta, \kappa\colon \widehat{G} \to G)$ be a Clifford pair and $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a field. Assume that ϑ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$ over $\mathbb{F}$. (The last assumption is not necessary in Turull's theory, but we only need this case, and the notation can be simplified somewhat in this case.) So for every $g \in \widehat{G}$, there is a field automorphism $\alpha_g \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\vartheta^{g\alpha_g} = \vartheta$. The map $g \mapsto \alpha_g$ defines an action of G on the field $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$ [8, Lemma 2.1] and thus the Brauer-Clifford group $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$ is defined. Turull showed [23, Definition 7.7] how to associate an element

$$[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \in \mathrm{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$$

to $(\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F})$. We recall the construction. Let $e = e_{(\vartheta,\mathbb{F})} \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{F}N)$ be the central primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}N$ corresponding to ϑ. Note that e is invariant in $\widehat{G}$ since ϑ is semi-invariant. An $\mathbb{F}\widehat{G}$-module V is called **ϑ-quasihomogeneous** if $Ve = V$. Let V be a nonzero quasihomogeneous $\mathbb{F}\widehat{G}$-module. Then $S = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}N} V$ is a G-algebra. For $z \in \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{F}Ne)$, the map $v \mapsto vz$ is in S, and this defines a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{F}Ne) \cong \mathbf{Z}(S)$ of G-algebras. The central character ω_ϑ belonging to ϑ defines an isomorphism $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{F}Ne) \cong \mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$, which commutes with the action of G (namely, we have $\omega_\vartheta(z^g) = \omega_\vartheta(z)^{\alpha_g}$). Thus we can view S as a central simple G-algebra over $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$. The equivalence class of S does not depend on the choice of the quasihomogeneous module V [23, Theorem 7.6]. Following Turull, we write $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ for this equivalence class. (Actually, Turull defines $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ as an element of $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, Z)$, where $Z = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{F}Ne)$.)

Now suppose that (ϑ, κ) and (ϑ_1, κ_1) are two semi-invariant Clifford pairs over G such that $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta_1)$. Assume that both Clifford pairs induce the same action of G on $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$, that is, for each $g \in G$ there is $\alpha_g \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\vartheta^{g\alpha_g} = \vartheta$ and $\vartheta_1^{g\alpha_g} = \vartheta_1$ for all $g \in G$. If

$$[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\vartheta_1, \kappa_1, \mathbb{F}]\!],$$

then the character theory of $\widehat{G}$ over the Galois conjugates of ϑ and the character theory of $\widehat{G}_1$ over the Galois conjugates of ϑ_1 are essentially "the same" [23, Theorem 7.12], as we mentioned in the introduction.

3. Subextensions

Let

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\kappa} G \longrightarrow 1$$

be an exact sequence and $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}\, K$. (Thus (ϑ, κ) is a Clifford pair.) Suppose that $\widehat{H}$ is a supplement of K in $\widehat{G}$, so that $\widehat{G} = \widehat{H}K$. Set $L = \widehat{H} \cap K$. Then $\widehat{H}/L \cong \widehat{G}/K \cong G$. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathrm{Irr}\, L$. We want to compare the elements $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ and $[\![\varphi, \kappa_{|\widehat{H}}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$. We do this under additional assumptions, which we collect here for convenient reference:

3.1. **Hypothesis.** Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & \widehat{H} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{|\widehat{H}}} & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \widehat{G} & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of finite groups with exact rows, let $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} K$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr} L$ be irreducible characters and let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic zero such that the following conditions hold:

(a) $n = [\vartheta_L, \varphi] > 0$.
(b) $\mathbb{F}(\varphi) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta) =: \mathbb{E}$.
(c) For every $h \in \widehat{H}$ there is $\gamma = \gamma_h \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\vartheta^{h\gamma} = \vartheta$ and $\varphi^{h\gamma} = \varphi$.

To reduce visual clutter, we write

$$e = e_{(\vartheta,\mathbb{F})} = \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F})} e_\vartheta^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad f = e_{(\varphi,\mathbb{F})} = \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F})} e_\varphi^\gamma$$

for the corresponding central primitive idempotents in $\mathbb{F}K$ and $\mathbb{F}L$, respectively.

3.2. **Lemma** ([10, Lemma 6.3])**.**

$$i := \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F})} e_\varphi^\gamma e_\vartheta^\gamma$$

is a $\widehat{H}$-stable nonzero idempotent in $\mathbb{F}Ke$, and we have $ei = i = ie$ and $fi = i = if$.

3.3. **Lemma** ([10, Lemma 6.4])**.**

$$\mathbf{Z}(i\mathbb{F}Ki) \cong \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{F}Ke) \cong \mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\varphi) \cong \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{F}Lf)$$

as G-rings.

3.4. **Lemma** ([10, Lemma 6.5])**.** *Set $Z = \mathbf{Z}(i\mathbb{F}Ki)$ and let $S = (i\mathbb{F}Ki)^L$. Then S is a central simple G-algebra over $Z \cong \mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$ with dimension n^2 over Z, and*

$$\mathbf{C}_{i\mathbb{F}Ki}(S) = \mathbb{F}Li \cong \mathbb{F}Lf.$$

Thus the equivalence class of S defines an element in $\operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E})$. The main result of this section is:

3.5. **Theorem.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1. Then*

$$[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \cdot [S] = [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|\widehat{H}}, \mathbb{F}]\!] \quad \textit{(in } \operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E})\textit{)}.$$

This result is of course related to the results in [10], but we didn't use the language of the Brauer-Clifford group in our previous paper. Thus we give a translation here. Theorem 3.5 is also related to results in [25, 26].

The precise result depends on the following conventions: All modules over $\mathbb{F}\widehat{G}$ and other group algebras are right modules, and endomorphism rings also operate

from the right. Thus for any $\mathbb{F}$-algebra A operating on a module V we get a homomorphism $A \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$, both operating from the right. Then $\mathrm{End}_A(V)$ is simply the centralizer of the image of A in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$. With other conventions, one may have to replace $[S]$ by $[S^{\mathrm{op}}] = [S]^{-1}$ in the formula of Theorem 3.5.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let V be an $\mathbb{F}\widehat{G}$-module with $Ve = V$. Then the G-algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}K}(V)$ is in $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$. Moreover, set $W = Vi$. Then W is an $\mathbb{F}\widehat{H}$-module with $Wf = Vif = Vi = W$, and thus $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}L}(W)$ is a G-algebra in $[\![\varphi, \kappa_{|\widehat{H}}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$.

We may also consider $W = Vi$ as a module over $i\mathbb{F}Ki$. Consider the algebra $A = \mathrm{End}_{i\mathbb{F}Ki}(Vi)$. Since $\widehat{H}$ acts on Vi and elements of L commute with elements of A, we see that $G \cong \widehat{H}/L$ acts on A. We claim that

$$A \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}K}(V)$$

as G-algebras over Z. To see this, consider the map $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}K}(V) \to A$ sending $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}K}(V)$ to $\varphi i \in A$ defined by $(vi)(\varphi i) = vi\varphi i$. It is easily verified that this map is an homomorphism of algebras. To see that it commutes with the action of $G \cong \widehat{H}/L$, observe that for $h \in \widehat{H}$, we have

$$v\varphi^h i = vh^{-1}\varphi hi = vh^{-1}\varphi ih = v(\varphi i)^h.$$

We get the inverse of $\varphi \mapsto \varphi i$ as follows: Since $\mathbb{F}Ke$ is a simple ring, we have $\mathbb{F}Ke = \mathbb{F}Ki\mathbb{F}K$. Thus there are elements a_ν, $b_\nu \in \mathbb{F}K$ such that $e = \sum_\nu a_\nu i b_\nu$. Then the map sending $\psi \in A = \mathrm{End}_{i\mathbb{F}Ki}(Vi)$ to $\widehat{\psi} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}K}(V)$ defined by $v\widehat{\psi} = \sum_\nu va_\nu i\psi b_\nu$ is the inverse of the above map. (In fact, it is well known that $\mathrm{End}_R(V) \cong \mathrm{End}_{iRi}(Vi)$ for idempotents i in a ring R with $R = RiR$.) The claim is proved.

It follows from the claim that $A = \mathrm{End}_{i\mathbb{F}Ki}(Vi) \in [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$. To finish the proof it suffices to show that $A \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} S \cong B := \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}L}(W)$.

Each of A, B, $i\mathbb{F}Ki$ and S acts faithfully on $W = Vi$ by (right) multiplication, and thus we may identify these algebras with subalgebras of $T := \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{E}}(W)$. Clearly, we have

$$A,\ S \subseteq B,$$

and A and S centralize each other. Because $A = \mathbf{C}_T(i\mathbb{F}Ki)$ by definition, we have $\mathbf{C}_T(A) = i\mathbb{F}Ki$ by the double centralizer property [5, Theorem 3.15]. It follows that

$$\mathbf{C}_B(A) = \mathbf{C}_T(A) \cap B = i\mathbb{F}Ki \cap \mathbf{C}_T(i\mathbb{F}Li) = S$$

by the definitions of B and S. Since A, B and S are central simple algebras over $\mathbb{E}$, it follows that $B \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} S$ [5, Corollary 3.16]. □

3.6. **Corollary.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 with $n = [\vartheta_L, \varphi] = 1$. Then $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|\widehat{H}}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$.*

Proof. Clear since then $S \cong \mathbb{E}$ yields the trivial element of $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E})$. □

The assumptions of the corollary hold in particular when $\vartheta_L = \varphi \in \operatorname{Irr} L$ and $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\varphi)$. To see another situation where Hypothesis 3.1 holds with $n = 1$, we need a simple lemma, which is a minor extension of a result of Riese and Schmid [15, Theorem 1]. (The bijection in the following lemma also preserves Schur indices over $\mathbb{F}$, but we will not need this fact.)

3.7. **Lemma.** *Let $A \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$ be a normal subgroup and $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr} A$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field and $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\tau)/\mathbb{F})$. Define*

$$\widehat{H} = \{g \in \widehat{G} \mid \exists \alpha \in \Gamma \colon \tau^{g\alpha} = \tau\}.$$

Then induction defines a bijection between

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G} \mid \tau^\alpha)$$

commuting with field automorphisms over $\mathbb{F}$. In particular, $\mathbb{F}(\psi^{\widehat{G}}) = \mathbb{F}(\psi)$ for $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau)$.

Proof. Write $\widehat{G}_\tau$ to denote the inertia group of τ. Clifford correspondence [9, Theorem 6.11] yields that induction defines bijections from $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G}_\tau \mid \tau)$ onto $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau)$, and from $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G}_\tau \mid \tau)$ onto $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G} \mid \tau)$. Thus induction defines a bijection from $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau)$ onto $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G} \mid \tau)$. The same statement holds with τ replaced by τ^α. (Of course, we have $\widehat{G}_{\tau^\alpha} = \widehat{G}_\tau$.)

It follows that induction yields a surjective map

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^\alpha) \to \bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{G} \mid \tau^\alpha)$$

which is injective when restricted to some $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^\alpha)$. It remains to show that there occurs no collapsing between two different sets $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^{\alpha_1})$ and $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^{\alpha_2})$. So assume that $\psi^{\widehat{G}} = \xi^{\widehat{G}} = \chi$ for $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^{\alpha_1})$ and $\xi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^{\alpha_2})$. Then τ^{α_1} and τ^{α_2} are both irreducible constituents of χ_A. By Clifford's theorem there is $g \in \widehat{G}$ with $\tau^{\alpha_1 g} = \tau^{\alpha_2}$ or $\tau^{g\alpha_1\alpha_2^{-1}} = \tau$. By the very definition of $\widehat{H}$ we see that $g \in \widehat{H}$. But then $\psi = \psi^g \in \operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^{\alpha_2})$. Since induction is bijective when restricted to $\operatorname{Irr}(\widehat{H} \mid \tau^{\alpha_2})$, it follows that $\psi = \xi$ as wanted.

Obviously, induction commutes with field automorphisms: We have $(\psi^\alpha)^{\widehat{G}} = (\psi^{\widehat{G}})^\alpha$. We always have $\mathbb{F}(\psi^{\widehat{G}}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}(\psi)$. If $\alpha \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\psi)/\mathbb{F}(\psi^{\widehat{G}}))$, then $\psi^{\widehat{G}} = (\psi^{\widehat{G}})^\alpha = (\psi^\alpha)^{\widehat{G}}$ and thus $\psi = \psi^\alpha$ by bijectivity. It follows $\alpha = 1$ and thus $\mathbb{F}(\psi) = \mathbb{F}(\psi^{\widehat{G}})$ as claimed. The proof is finished. □

3.8. **Corollary.** *Let*

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\kappa} G \longrightarrow 1$$

be an exact sequence of finite groups and $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} K$ be semi-invariant over $\mathbb{F}$ in $\widehat{G}$. Assume there is $A \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$ with $A \subseteq K$ and let τ be an irreducible constituent of ϑ_A.

Set

$$\widehat{H} = \{g \in \widehat{G} \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\tau)/\mathbb{F})\colon \tau^{g\alpha} = \tau\}.$$

Then $\widehat{G} = \widehat{H}K$*, and for* $L = \widehat{H} \cap K$ *there is a unique* $\varphi \in \mathrm{Irr}(L \mid \tau)$ *with* $\vartheta = \varphi^K$*, and we have* $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\varphi)$ *and* $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|\widehat{H}}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ *for this* φ*.*

Proof. We first show that $\widehat{G} = \widehat{H}K$. Let $g \in \widehat{G}$. Since ϑ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$, there is an $\alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\vartheta^{g\alpha} = \vartheta$. We may extend α to an automorphism β of $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta, \tau)$. Then $\tau^{g\beta}$ is a constituent of ϑ_A. By Clifford's theorem, we have $\tau^{g\beta k} = \tau$ for some $k \in K$. Thus $gk \in \widehat{H}$, which shows $\widehat{G} = \widehat{H}K$.

Lemma 3.7 yields the existence of a φ with $\vartheta = \varphi^K$.

We verify that Hypothesis 3.1 holds. We have $n = [\vartheta_L, \varphi] = [\vartheta, \varphi^K] = 1$. Lemma 3.7 yields that $\mathbb{F}(\varphi) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$. Finally, let $h \in \widehat{H}$. Then there is $\alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{F})$ with $\vartheta^{h\alpha} = \vartheta$. Then $(\varphi^{h\alpha})^K = \vartheta = \varphi^K$. Since $\varphi^{h\alpha} \in \mathrm{Irr}(L \mid \tau^{h\alpha})$ and $\tau^{h\alpha} = \tau^\beta$ for some field automorphism β, it follows from Lemma 3.7 that $\varphi^{h\alpha} = \varphi$.

We have now shown that Hypothesis 3.1 holds with $n = 1$. Corollary 3.6 yields the result. □

3.9. *Remark.* It follows directly from Lemma 3.7 that for each subgroup U with $K \leqslant U \leqslant \widehat{G}$ there is a correspondence between $\mathrm{Irr}(U \mid \tau)$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(U \cap \widehat{H} \mid \tau)$. It is also elementary to prove that these correspondences commute with restriction and induction of characters. In fact, every property of the correspondence that follows from the equality $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|\widehat{H}}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ and the results of Turull [23] can be proved elementarily, without using the Brauer-Clifford group. But we will need Corollary 3.8 in inductive arguments to come later, and there we can not do without the language of the Brauer-Clifford group.

Proposition C is a special case of Corollary 3.8, applied over a bigger field:

Proof of Proposition C. Assume the situation of Theorem A. Recall that C, $N_1 \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}_1$ with $C \subseteq N_1$, and that $\vartheta_1 = \lambda^{N_1}$ with $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}\, C$. By definition in Proposition C, $\widehat{U}_1 = (\widehat{G}_1)_{\vartheta_1}$ is the inertia group of ϑ_1 in $\widehat{G}_1$, and $V = (\widehat{G}_1)_\lambda$ is the inertia group of λ in $\widehat{G}_1$. Clearly, $\lambda^{N_1} = \vartheta_1 \in \mathrm{Irr}\, N_1$ implies that $V \cap N_1 = C$.

We apply Corollary 3.8 to the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow N_1 \longrightarrow \widehat{U}_1 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 1$$

with C instead of A, λ instead of τ and the field $\mathbb{F}(\lambda)$ instead of $\mathbb{F}$. Note that $\widehat{H} = V$. We get that $\widehat{U}_1 = VN_1$ and $[\![\vartheta_1, (\kappa_1)_{|\widehat{U}_1}, \mathbb{F}(\lambda)]\!] = [\![\lambda, (\kappa_1)_{|V}, \mathbb{F}(\lambda)]\!]$. By Lemma 3.7, induction induces a bijection $\mathrm{Irr}(X \cap V \mid \lambda) \to \mathrm{Irr}(X \mid \vartheta_1)$. □

4. A subgroup of the Schur-Clifford group

Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a field and G a group which acts on $\mathbb{E}$ and fixes the subfield $\mathbb{F}$. Recall that in [12] we defined the **Schur-Clifford group** $\mathcal{SC}^{(\mathbb{F})}(G, \mathbb{E})$ to be the subset of $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E})$ of all $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ such that (ϑ, κ) is a Clifford pair over G that induces

the given action of G on $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{E}$. We also showed that $\mathcal{SC}^{(\mathbb{F})}(G, \mathbb{E})$ is a subgroup of the Brauer-Clifford group, if $\mathbb{E}$ is contained in a cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{F}$. Further, in that case we have

$$\mathcal{SC}^{(\mathbb{F})}(G, \mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{SC}^{(\mathbb{E}^G)}(G, \mathbb{E}) =: \mathcal{SC}(G, \mathbb{E}).$$

Fix a finite group G and a field $\mathbb{F}$. We consider two subclasses of Clifford pairs (ϑ, κ) where, as usual, the homomorphism κ is part of an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\kappa} G \longrightarrow 1$$

and $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} N$. The main result of this section is that both subclasses yield the same subgroup of the Schur-Clifford group.

4.1. **Definition.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the class of all Clifford pairs (ϑ, κ) such that

(a) there is $A \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$ with $A \subseteq N$,
(b) there is a linear character $\lambda \in \operatorname{Lin} A$ such that $\vartheta = \lambda^N$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{M}$ containing the pairs in $\mathcal{M}$ such that

(c) the A above is cyclic and λ is faithful,
(d) λ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$ over $\mathbb{F}$, and
(e) $N/A \cong \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$.

Recall that for a class $\mathcal{X}$ of Clifford pairs, we defined

$$\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{X}}(G, \mathbb{E}) = \{[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \in \operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E}) \mid (\vartheta, \kappa) \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

In this terminology, Theorem A says that $\mathcal{SC}(G, \mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{E})$.

There is some redundancy in these conditions:

4.2. **Lemma.** *If (a), (b) and (d) of Definition 4.1 hold for some Clifford pair (ϑ, κ), so does (e). (Thus Condition (d) in Theorem A follows from the other conditions in Theorem A.)*

Proof. Since λ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$ over $\mathbb{F}$, there is for every $n \in N$ an $\alpha_n \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\lambda^{n\alpha_n} = \lambda$. Since $(\lambda^n)^N = \vartheta^n = \vartheta$, we must have $\alpha_n \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$. This defines an homomorphism from N/A into $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$ with kernel N_λ/A [8, Lemma 2.1]. Since $\lambda^N \in \operatorname{Irr} N$, we must have $N_\lambda = A$.

Now let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$. Then λ^α and λ are constituents of $\vartheta = \vartheta^\alpha$ and thus conjugate in N, so that $\lambda^{\alpha n} = \lambda$ for some $n \in N$. It follows that $\alpha = \alpha_n \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$. Thus $n \mapsto \alpha_n \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$ is surjective. Thus Condition (e) holds. □

The following result follows directly from the definitions.

4.3. **Lemma.** *Let $(\vartheta, \kappa\colon \widehat{G} \to G)$ be a Clifford pair and $K \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\vartheta$ a normal subgroup of $\widehat{G}$. Then $[\![\overline{\vartheta}, \overline{\kappa}, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$, where $\overline{\kappa}\colon \widehat{G}/K \to G$ is the map induced by κ and $\overline{\vartheta} \in \operatorname{Irr}(N/K)$ is the character ϑ viewed as character of N/K (where $N = \operatorname{Ker}\kappa$).*

Note that when Conditions (a), (b) and (d) above hold, then $K = \operatorname{Ker}\lambda$ is normal in $\widehat{G}$, and also $K = \operatorname{Ker}\vartheta$. Thus we can factor out K and get a Clifford pair such that (c) is true, too.

4.4. **Proposition.** *Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a field extension of $\mathbb{F}$ on which G acts as $\mathbb{F}$-algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E}).$$

Proof. By definition, we have $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E})$. To show the converse inclusion, we begin with a Clifford pair $(\vartheta,\kappa) \in \mathcal{M}$ that induces the given action on $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$. Here $\kappa\colon \widehat{G} \to G$ and $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} N$ with $N = \ker\kappa$ as usual. Let $A \subseteq N$ be a normal subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ and $\lambda \in \operatorname{Lin} A$ a linear character with $\vartheta = \lambda^N$.

By assumption, the character ϑ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$. Set

$$\widehat{G}_0 = \{g \in \widehat{G} \mid \quad \text{there is } \alpha \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}) \text{ such that } \lambda^{g\alpha} = \lambda\},$$
$$N_0 = N \cap \widehat{G}_0, \quad \kappa_0 = \kappa_{|\widehat{G}_0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta_0 = \lambda^{N_0}.$$

Note that $\vartheta_0^N = \lambda^N = \vartheta$. By Corollary 3.8, we have that $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}_0 N$, that $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta_0)$, and that $[\![\vartheta,\kappa,\mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\vartheta_0,\kappa_0,\mathbb{F}]\!]$. Condition (d) (and thus (e)) holds for the Clifford pair (ϑ_0,κ_0). By Lemma 4.3 and the remark following it, we can factor out the kernel of λ and we get a Clifford pair in $\mathcal{C}$ that yields the same element of the Brauer-Clifford group as (ϑ,κ). □

4.5. **Corollary.** *$\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{SC}(G,\mathbb{E})$ and $\operatorname{BrCliff}(G,\mathbb{E})$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ is a subgroup. By Theorem 5.7 from [12], we have to show the following:

(a) $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E}) \neq \emptyset$.
(b) When $(\vartheta,\kappa) \in \mathcal{M}$, then $(\overline{\vartheta},\kappa) \in \mathcal{M}$ (here, $\overline{\vartheta}$ denotes the complex conjugate of ϑ).
(c) When (ϑ_1,κ_1) and $(\vartheta_2,\kappa_2) \in \mathcal{M}$, then $(\vartheta_1 \times \vartheta_2, \kappa_1 \times_G \kappa_2) \in \mathcal{M}$.

That $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E}) \neq \emptyset$ follows from Corollary 5.2 in [12], and (b) is clear. For (c), assume $A_i \subseteq N_i$ is the abelian normal subgroup of $\widehat{G}_i$, where

$$1 \longrightarrow N_i \longrightarrow \widehat{G}_i \xrightarrow{\kappa_i} G \longrightarrow 1$$

is the exact sequence belonging to κ_i, and let $\lambda_i \in \operatorname{Lin} A_i$ with $\vartheta_i = \lambda_i^{N_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Recall that the Clifford pair $(\vartheta_1 \times \vartheta_2, \kappa_1 \times_G \kappa_2)$ is defined as follows: Let

$$\widehat{G}_1 \times_G \widehat{G}_2 = \{(g_1,g_2) \in \widehat{G}_1 \times \widehat{G}_2 \mid g_1\kappa_1 = g_2\kappa_2\}$$

be the pullback and $\kappa_1 \times_G \kappa_2\colon \widehat{G}_1 \times_G \widehat{G}_2 \to G$ the canonical homomorphism mapping (g_1,g_2) to $g_1\kappa_1 = g_2\kappa_2$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}(\kappa_1 \times_G \kappa_2) = N_1 \times N_2$, and $\vartheta_1 \times \vartheta_2 \in \operatorname{Irr}(N_1 \times N_2)$. It follows that $A_1 \times A_2 \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}_1 \times_G \widehat{G}_2$ is an abelian normal subgroup and $\vartheta_1 \times \vartheta_2 = \lambda_1^{N_1} \times \lambda_2^{N_2} = (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2)^{N_1 \times N_2}$. This shows (c). □

5. Reduction to prime power groups

5.1. **Lemma.** *Let $a \in \mathcal{SC}(G,\mathbb{E})$. Then $a \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ if and only if the p-parts a_p of a are in $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ for all primes p.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G,\mathbb{E})$ is torsion. Thus $a = \prod_p a_p$ is the product of its p-parts a_p, and $a_p \in \langle a\rangle$. The result follows since $\mathcal{SC}(G,\mathbb{E})$ and $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ are subgroups (Corollary 4.5). □

For any subgroup $H \leqslant G$, there is a group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Res}^G_H\colon \mathrm{BrCliff}(G,\mathbb{E}) \to \mathrm{BrCliff}(H,\mathbb{E})$$

which is induced by viewing a G-algebra as an H-algebra. This restriction homomorphism sends $[\![\vartheta,\kappa,\mathbb{F}]\!]$ to $[\![\vartheta,\pi,\mathbb{F}]\!]$, where π is the restriction of κ to the preimage $\kappa^{-1}(H)$ of H [12, Proposition 7.1].

In the proof of the next result, we also need the corestriction map

$$\mathrm{Cores}^G_H\colon \mathrm{BrCliff}(H,\mathbb{E}) \to \mathrm{BrCliff}(G,\mathbb{E})$$

defined in [11].

5.2. **Lemma.** *Let $a \in \mathcal{SC}(G,\mathbb{E})$ have p-power order for the prime p and let $P \leqslant G$ be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then $a \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ if and only if $\mathrm{Res}^G_P(a) \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(P,\mathbb{E})$.*

Proof. The "only" if part is clear and does not depend on $a \in \mathcal{SC}(G,\mathbb{E})$ having p-power order.

Now assume $\mathrm{Res}^G_P(a) \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(P,\mathbb{E})$. Apply the corestriction map

$$\mathrm{Cores}^G_P\colon \mathrm{BrCliff}(P,\mathbb{E}) \to \mathrm{BrCliff}(G,\mathbb{E}).$$

It follows from [12, Corollary 9.2] that Cores^G_P maps $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(P,\mathbb{E})$ into $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E})$. Since $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ by Proposition 4.4, we have $\mathrm{Cores}^G_P(\mathrm{Res}^G_P(a)) \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$. By [11, Theorem 6.3], we get $\mathrm{Cores}^G_P(\mathrm{Res}^G_P(a)) = a^{|G:P|}$. Since a has p-power order, it follows that $a \in \langle a^{|G:P|}\rangle$. Thus $a \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ as claimed. □

By the last two results, to show that an arbitrary element $a \in \mathcal{SC}(G,\mathbb{E})$ is in fact contained in $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$, we can assume that a has p-power order and that G is a p-group. Note that it was essential in the above proofs that $\mathcal{SC}(G,\mathbb{E})$ and $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ are groups.

5.3. *Remark.* By similar arguments, one can show: An element $a \in \mathrm{BrCliff}(G,\mathbb{E})$ is in $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G,\mathbb{E})$ if and only if $\mathrm{Res}^G_P(a) \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(P,\mathbb{E})$ for all Sylow subgroups P of G. Note, however, that even when P is a p-group, the exponent of $\mathrm{BrCliff}(P,\mathbb{E})$ or $\mathcal{SC}(P,\mathbb{E})$ is in general not a p-power. For example, $\mathrm{BrCliff}(1,\mathbb{E}) = \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{E})$, and $\mathcal{SC}(1,\mathbb{E})$ is in general not the trivial group.

6. Reduction to a larger field

In this section, we show that when G is a p-group and $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ has p-power-order, then we can replace the fields $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ by certain larger fields to prove the main theorem (Theorem A).

First, we assume the following situation: Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a field on which a group G acts, and let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field contained in the fixed field $\mathbb{E}^G$. Suppose that there are two other fields $\mathbb{K} \geqslant \mathbb{L} \geqslant \mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{E}\mathbb{L}$ and $\mathbb{E} \cap \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}$. Assume that $\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}$ is Galois and let $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{E})$. The situation is summarized in the following picture:



In this situation, $G \times \Delta$ acts on $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L}$. If S is a central simple G-algebra over $\mathbb{E}$, then $S \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L} \cong S \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K}$ is a central simple $(G \times \Delta)$-algebra over $\mathbb{K}$. This defines a group homomorphism $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E}) \to \mathrm{BrCliff}(G \times \Delta, \mathbb{K})$. In fact, this group homomorphism is the composition of

$$\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Inf}} \mathrm{BrCliff}(G \times \Delta, \mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BrCliff}(G \times \Delta, \mathbb{K}),$$

where the first map is the inflation map induced by the epimorphism $G \times \Delta \to G$, and the second map is induced by scalar extension from $\mathbb{E}$ to $\mathbb{K}$. Our first goal is to show that the above group homomorphism is actually an isomorphism:

6.1. **Proposition.** *The maps*

$$S \mapsto S \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K} \quad \textit{and} \quad T \mapsto T^{\Delta} := \mathbf{C}_T(\Delta)$$

define inverse maps between the isomorphism classes of central simple G-algebras over $\mathbb{E}$ and central simple $(G \times \Delta)$-algebras over $\mathbb{K}$. Moreover, they induce mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{E}) \cong \mathrm{BrCliff}(G \times \Delta, \mathbb{K}).$$

It is clear that $S \cong (S \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K})^{\Delta}$, and it is a result of Hochschild [7, Lemma 1.2] that $T \cong T^{\Delta} \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K}$. But to see that the inverse map sending T to T^{Δ} respects equivalence classes, we need some more general arguments, and it will be more convenient for us to reprove Hochschild's result.

Let $\mathbb{K}\Delta$ denote the skew group ring with respect to the action of Δ on $\mathbb{K}$ (see Section 2). The ring $\mathbb{K}\Delta$ acts on $\mathbb{K}$ from the right by

$$x \circ \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} \sigma c_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma} x^\sigma c_\sigma.$$

This makes $\mathbb{K}$ into a right $\mathbb{K}\Delta$-module. So if V is a vector space over $\mathbb{E}$, then $V \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K}$ is a right $\mathbb{K}\Delta$-module. For a right $\mathbb{K}\Delta$-module W, we still write $W^\Delta = \{w \in W \mid w\sigma = w \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Delta\}$.

The next lemma basically follows from the fact that $\mathbb{K}\Delta \cong \mathbf{M}_{|\Delta|}(\mathbb{E})$ which is well known from Galois cohomology.

6.2. **Lemma.** *For every $\mathbb{E}$-vector space V and every right $\mathbb{K}\Delta$-module W, we have*

$$V \cong (V \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K})^\Delta \quad \textit{and} \quad W \cong W^\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K}$$

naturally, and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}\Delta}(W) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{E}}(W^\Delta)$. *(In fact, we have a category equivalence between the category of vector spaces over $\mathbb{E}$ and the category of modules over $\mathbb{K}\Delta$.)*

Proof. It is clear that $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K})^\Delta \cong V$ naturally for any $\mathbb{E}$-vector space V.

Conversely, let W be a $\mathbb{K}\Delta$-module. We have to show that $w \otimes k \mapsto wk$ is an isomorphism $W^\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K} \cong W$.

First, we observe the following identity in $\mathbb{K}\Delta$: For any $a \in \mathbb{K}$, we have

$$\Big(\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta} \sigma\Big)\, a \,\Big(\sum_{\tau\in\Delta} \tau\Big) = \sum_{\sigma,\tau\in\Delta} \sigma\tau a^\tau = \Big(\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta} \sigma\Big)\, \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathbb{K}}(a).$$

Let $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ be a basis of $\mathbb{K}$ over $\mathbb{E}$ and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be the dual basis with respect to the form $(x, y) \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathbb{K}}(xy)$, so that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathbb{K}}(b_i a_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{K}$ we have

$$k = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathbb{K}}(ka_i)b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathbb{K}}(kb_i)a_i.$$

Set

$$E_{ij} := a_i\Big(\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta} \sigma\Big)b_j \in \mathbb{K}\Delta.$$

It follows that

$$E_{ij}E_{rs} = a_i\Big(\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta} \sigma\Big)\, \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{E}}^{\mathbb{K}}(b_j a_r)\, b_s = \delta_{jr}E_{is},$$

where the first equality follows from the identity in the last paragraph. In particular, the E_{ij}'s are linearly independent over $\mathbb{E}$ and so, by counting dimensions, form a basis of $\mathbb{K}\Delta$. Since $(\sum_i E_{ii})E_{rs} = E_{rs}$, it follows that $\sum_i E_{ii} = 1$. We have now proved that the E_{ij}'s form a *complete set of matrix units*. Using this, it is routine to verify that

$$W \ni w \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n wa_i\Big(\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta} \sigma\Big) \otimes b_i \in W^\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K}$$

is the inverse of the natural map $W^\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K} \to W$ sending $w_0 \otimes k$ to wk.

The isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}\Delta}(W) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{E}}(W^\Delta)$ follows from $W \cong W^\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} \mathbb{K}$. □

6.3. **Lemma.** *Let W_1 and W_2 be two $\mathbb{K}\Delta$-modules. Then $(W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} W_2)^\Delta \cong W_1^\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} W_2^\Delta$.*

Proof. We have a natural injection of $W_1^\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{E}} W_2^\Delta$ into $(W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} W_2)^\Delta$. It follows from Lemma 6.2 that both spaces have dimension $(\dim_{\mathbb{K}} W_1)(\dim_{\mathbb{K}} W_2)$ over $\mathbb{E}$. Thus the injection is an isomorphism. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1. A $(G\times\Delta)$-algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ can be viewed as a $\mathbb{K}\Delta$-module. It is easy to check that the isomorphisms of Lemma 6.2 are isomorphisms of $(G\times\Delta)$-algebras.

If S_1 and S_2 are equivalent, then $S_1\otimes_{\mathbb{E}}\mathbb{K}$ and $S_2\otimes_{\mathbb{E}}\mathbb{K}$ are equivalent, as explained at the beginning of this section.

Now assume that $[T_1]=[T_2]$ in $\mathrm{BrCliff}(G\times\Delta,\mathbb{K})$. To finish the proof, we need to show that T_1^Δ and T_2^Δ are equivalent. By assumption, there are $\mathbb{K}[G\times\Delta]$-modules P_1 and P_2 such that

$$T_1\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(P_1)\cong T_2\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(P_2).$$

Taking centralizers of Δ and using Lemma 6.3, it follows that

$$T_1^\Delta\otimes_{\mathbb{E}}\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}\Delta}P_1\cong T_2^\Delta\otimes_{\mathbb{E}}\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}\Delta}P_2.$$

(Note that $(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}P_i)^\Delta=\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}\Delta}P_i$.) From Lemma 6.2, it follows that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}\Delta}P_i\cong\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{E}}(P_i^\Delta)$. The centralizer P_i^Δ is an $\mathbb{E}G$-submodule of P_i, and the isomorphisms above are isomorphisms of G-algebras. Thus $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{E}}(P_i^\Delta)$ is a trivial G-algebra over $\mathbb{E}$ (for $i=1,2$), and T_1^Δ and T_2^Δ are equivalent. □

We write

$$C(\Delta)\colon\ \mathrm{BrCliff}(G\times\Delta,\mathbb{K})\to\mathrm{BrCliff}(G,\mathbb{E}),\quad C(\Delta)[T]=[T^\Delta].$$

6.4. **Lemma.** *We have*

$$C(\Delta)(\mathcal{SC}(G\times\Delta,\mathbb{K}))\subseteq\mathcal{SC}(G,\mathbb{E})\quad\textit{and}$$
$$C(\Delta)(\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G\times\Delta,\mathbb{K}))\subseteq\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E}).$$

Proof. Let

$$1\longrightarrow N\longrightarrow\widehat{G}\xrightarrow{\kappa}G\times\Delta\longrightarrow 1$$

be an exact sequence and V an $\mathbb{F}\widehat{G}$-module with $S=\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}N}V$, and such that the class of S is in $\mathcal{SC}(G\times\Delta,\mathbb{K})$. Then for $M=\kappa^{-1}(\Delta)$ we have an exact sequence

$$1\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow\widehat{G}\xrightarrow{\pi}G\longrightarrow 1,$$

where π is $\widehat{G}\xrightarrow{\kappa}G\times\Delta\longrightarrow G$. The equality $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}M}(V)=S^\Delta$ proves the first assertion.

Let $\vartheta\in\mathrm{Irr}\,N$ be an irreducible constituent of the character of V_N. Then $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)=\mathbb{K}\cong\mathbf{Z}(S)$. Since Δ acts faithfully on $\mathbb{K}$, it follows that $\vartheta^m\neq\vartheta$ for all $m\in M\setminus N$. Thus ϑ^M is irreducible, and it follows that $[S^\Delta]=[\![\vartheta^M,\pi,\mathbb{F}]\!]$. This shows, in particular, the second assertion. □

6.5. **Lemma.** *Let* $[S]\in\mathrm{BrCliff}(G,\mathbb{E})$. *If* $[S\otimes_{\mathbb{F}}\mathbb{L}]\in\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{K})$, *then* $[S]^{|\Delta|}\in\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G,\mathbb{E})$.

Proof. Note that we can view $S \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L}$ as a $(G \times \Delta)$-algebra. Applying the corestriction map with $G \leqslant G \times \Delta$, we get [11, Theorem 6.3]

$$\operatorname{Cores}_G^{G\times\Delta} \operatorname{Res}_G^{G\times\Delta}[S \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L}] = [S \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L}]^{|\Delta|}.$$

Since we assume $\operatorname{Res}_G^{G\times\Delta}[S \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L}] \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G, \mathbb{K})$, it follows [12, Corollary 9.2] that

$$[S \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L}]^{|\Delta|} \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G \times \Delta, \mathbb{K}).$$

Applying $C(\Delta)$ yields, by Lemma 6.4, that $[S]^{|\Delta|} \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G, \mathbb{E})$. □

Recall that we want to prove that an arbitrary element $a \in \mathcal{SC}(G, \mathbb{E})$ is in fact an element of $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{E})$, and that we have already reduced to the case where a has p-power order and G is a p-group. The results of this section yield a further reduction:

6.6. **Corollary.** *Let G be a p-group and let*

$$a = [\![\vartheta, \kappa\colon \widehat{G} \to G, \mathbb{F}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}(G, \mathbb{E})$$

have p-power order. (So ϑ is semi-invariant over $\mathbb{F}$ in $\widehat{G}$ and $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{E}$.) Let ε be a primitive $|\widehat{G}|$-th root of unity. Then there is a unique field $\mathbb{L}$ such that $|\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{F}|$ is a p'-number and $|\mathbb{F}(\varepsilon) : \mathbb{L}|$ is a p-power. If $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{L}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$, then $a = [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{E})$.

Proof. The field $\mathbb{L}$ is uniquely determined as the fixed field of a Sylow p-subgroup of the abelian Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\varepsilon)/\mathbb{F})$.

By assumption, $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta)$. By a result from my previous paper [12, Lemma 6.1], we know that $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{E}^G]\!]$. Therefore, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathbb{E}^G = \mathbb{F}$. Then $|\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}|$ is a power of p, since G is a p-group. Thus $\mathbb{E} \cap \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}$. With $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{E}\mathbb{L} \cong \mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L}$, the assumptions from the beginning of the section hold.

Now $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{L}\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{L}\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{K}$ and $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{L}]\!] = [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{L}$. If $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{L}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G, \mathbb{K})$ then $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]^{|\mathbb{L}:\mathbb{F}|} \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G, \mathbb{E})$ by Lemma 6.5. Since $a = [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ has p-power order, it follows that $a \in \langle a^{|\mathbb{L}:\mathbb{F}|} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G, \mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{E})$. This proves the corollary. □

7. Reduction to elementary groups

Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a field of characteristic 0. Recall that a group H is called **$\mathbb{L}$-elementary for the prime** p or $\mathbb{L}$-p-elementary, if the following two conditions hold:

(a) $H = PC$ is the semidirect product of a normal cyclic p'-group C and a p-group P.
(b) The linear characters of C are semi-invariant over $\mathbb{L}$ in H.

The second condition is sometimes expressed differently. We explain the connection. Let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Lin} C$ be a faithful character of C. Then λ is semi-invariant in $H = PC$ if for every $y \in P$ there is $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\lambda)/\mathbb{L})$ such that $\lambda^{y\sigma} = \lambda$. Now $\mathbb{L}(\lambda) = \mathbb{L}(\zeta)$, where ζ is a primitive $|C|$-th root of unity. Then for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\zeta)/\mathbb{L})$, there is

a unique $k = k(\sigma) \in (\mathbb{Z}/|C|\mathbb{Z})^*$ with $\zeta^\sigma = \zeta^{k(\sigma)}$. The second condition above is equivalent to: For every $y \in P$ there is $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\zeta)/\mathbb{L})$ such that $c^y = c^{k(\sigma)}$ for all $c \in C$.

We need the following part of the generalized induction theorem:

7.1. **Proposition** (Brauer, Berman, Witt [18, § 12.6])**.** *Let $\widehat{G}$ be a finite group with $|\widehat{G}| = bp^k$, where the prime p does not divide b, and let $\mathbb{L}$ be a field. Then we can write*

$$b1_{\widehat{G}} = \sum_{X,\tau} a_{X,\tau} \tau^{\widehat{G}}$$

with integers $a_{X,\tau}$, where (X, τ) runs through the set of pairs such that X is an $\mathbb{L}$-p-elementary subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ and τ is the character of an $\mathbb{L}X$-module.

The next result is similar to results of Dade [1, cf. 9, Theorem 8.24] and Schmid[17, Lemma 5.1]. See also [28, Proposition 3.3].

7.2. **Theorem.** *Let $\widehat{G}$ be a finite group with normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$ such that $\widehat{G}/N$ is a p-group for some prime p. Let $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}(N)$ be $\mathbb{L}$-semi-invariant, where $\mathbb{L}$ is some field of characteristic 0 such that $|\mathbb{L}(\zeta) : \mathbb{L}|$ is a p-power, where ζ is an $\exp(\widehat{G})$-th root of unity. Then there exists an $\mathbb{L}$-p-elementary group $X \leqslant \widehat{G}$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Irr}(N \cap X)$ such that the following hold:*

(a) $\widehat{G} = XN$.
(b) $[\vartheta, \varphi^N] \not\equiv 0 \mod p$.
(c) $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{L}(\varphi)$.
(d) *For each $x \in X$ there is $\alpha = \alpha_x \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{L})$ such that $\vartheta^{x\alpha} = \vartheta$ and $\varphi^{x\alpha} = \varphi$.*

Proof. Write

$$b1_{\widehat{G}} = \sum_{X,\tau} a_{X,\tau} \tau^{\widehat{G}},$$

as in Proposition 7.1. Set $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{L})$ and

$$\psi = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}}^{\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)}(\vartheta) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \vartheta^\sigma.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
b|\Gamma| &= [\psi, \psi b 1_{\widehat{G}}]_N \\
&= \sum_{X,\tau} a_{X,\tau} [\psi\overline{\psi}, (\tau^{\widehat{G}})_N] \\
&= \sum_{X,\tau} a_{X,\tau} [\psi\overline{\psi}, \sum_{t \in [\widehat{G}:XN]} \left(\tau_{X^t \cap N}^t\right)^N] && \text{(Mackey)} \\
&= \sum_{X,\tau} a_{X,\tau} |\widehat{G} : XN| [\psi\overline{\psi}, (\tau_{X\cap N})^N] && (\psi\overline{\psi} \text{ is invariant in } \widehat{G}) \\
&= \sum_{X,\tau} a_{X,\tau} |\widehat{G} : XN| [\psi, \psi(\tau_{X\cap N})^N] \\
&= |\Gamma| \sum_{X,\tau} a_{X,\tau} |\widehat{G} : XN| [\vartheta, \psi(\tau_{X\cap N})^N],
\end{aligned}$$

since $\psi(\tau_{X\cap N})^N$ is a character with values in $\mathbb{L}$. Since $b \not\equiv 0 \mod p$, it follows that there is an $\mathbb{L}$-p-elementary subgroup X and a character τ of an $\mathbb{L}X$-module, such that

$$a_{X,\tau} |\widehat{G} : XN| [\vartheta, \psi(\tau_{X\cap N})^N] \not\equiv 0 \mod p.$$

Fix such an X and τ and set $Y = X \cap N$. First we note that since $\widehat{G}/N$ is a p-group and $|\widehat{G} : XN| \not\equiv 0 \mod p$, it follows that $\widehat{G} = XN$.

Let $\widehat{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\zeta)/\mathbb{L})$ and set $P = (X/Y) \times \widehat{\Gamma}$. This group acts on the characters of N and of Y. The character τ_Y is invariant under the action of P, since it is the restriction from a character of X which has values in $\mathbb{L}$. Since ϑ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$, it follows that every P-conjugate of ϑ is of the form ϑ^σ with $\sigma \in \Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{L})$ and thus ψ is P-invariant, as is ψ_Y, of course. Thus $\psi_Y \tau_Y$ is invariant under P.

For each $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr} Y$, let $S(\varphi)$ be the sum of the characters in the P-orbit of φ. Since $\psi_Y\tau_Y$ is invariant, we may write

$$\psi_Y \tau_Y = \sum_\varphi c_\varphi S(\varphi), \qquad (c_\varphi = [\psi_Y \tau_Y, \varphi]_Y)$$

where the sum runs over a set of representatives of the P-orbits of $\operatorname{Irr} Y$. It follows from

$$0 \not\equiv [\vartheta, \psi\tau_Y^N] = [\vartheta_Y, \psi_Y\tau_Y] = \sum_\varphi c_\varphi [\vartheta_Y, S(\varphi)]$$

that there is $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr} Y$ such that $c_\varphi[\vartheta_Y, S(\varphi)] \not\equiv 0 \mod p$. After replacing φ by another character in its orbit (if necessary), we may assume that $[\vartheta_Y, \varphi] \not\equiv 0 \mod p$. For the rest of the proof, we fix a $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr} Y$ such that

$$[\psi_Y\tau_Y, \varphi][\vartheta_Y, S(\varphi)][\vartheta_Y, \varphi] \not\equiv 0 \mod p,$$

and we show that this φ has the desired properties. Of course we already have that $[\vartheta, \varphi^N] = [\vartheta_Y, \varphi] \not\equiv 0 \mod p$. It remains to show (c) and (d).

The group $P = (X/Y) \times \widehat{\Gamma}$ (with $\widehat{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\zeta)/\mathbb{L})$ as above) is a p-group by our assumption. Write P_φ and P_ϑ for the stabilizers of φ and ϑ in P. We claim

that $P_\varphi = P_\vartheta$. First we show $P_\vartheta \subseteq P_\varphi$. Since ϑ is semi-invariant in X, we have $P_\vartheta\widehat{\Gamma} = P$. Thus P_ϑ is normal in P and $P/P_\vartheta \cong X/X_\vartheta \cong \Gamma$ is abelian. Thus every P_ϑ-orbit contained in the P-orbit of φ has the same length $|P_\vartheta : P_\vartheta \cap P_\varphi|$. Since $[\vartheta_Y, \varphi^{xy}] = [\vartheta_Y, \varphi^x]$ for $y \in P_\vartheta$, it follows that $|P_\vartheta : P_\vartheta \cap P_\varphi|$ divides

$$[\vartheta_Y, S(\varphi)] = \sum_{x \in [P:P_\varphi]} [\vartheta_Y, \varphi^x] \not\equiv 0 \mod p.$$

Thus $|P_\vartheta : P_\vartheta \cap P_\varphi| = 1$ and $P_\vartheta \subseteq P_\varphi$.

As we mentioned before, ψ is the P-orbit sum of ϑ and τ_Y is P-invariant. It follows that

$$[\psi_Y \tau_Y, \varphi] = \sum_{x \in [P:P_\vartheta]} [(\vartheta^x)_Y \tau_Y, \varphi] \not\equiv 0 \mod p$$

is divisible by the p-power $|P_\varphi : P_\vartheta|$. Therefore, $P_\varphi = P_\vartheta$ as claimed.

From $P_\vartheta \cap \widehat{\Gamma} = P_\varphi \cap \widehat{\Gamma}$ it follows that a field automorphism over $\mathbb{L}$ fixes φ if and only if it fixes ϑ. Thus $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{L}(\varphi)$. Since ϑ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$, there is, for every $x \in X$, an $\alpha_x \in \Gamma$ such that $\vartheta^{x\alpha_x} = \vartheta$. If $\beta \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ is any extension of α_x to $\mathbb{L}(\zeta)$, then $(xY, \beta) \in P_\vartheta = P_\varphi$. It follows that also $\varphi^{x\alpha_x} = \varphi$. The proof is finished. □

7.3. **Corollary.** *In the situation of Theorem 7.2, let $\kappa\colon \widehat{G} \to G$ be an epimorphism with kernel N. Then $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{L}]\!] = [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|X}, \mathbb{L}]\!]$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 7.2 that Hypothesis 3.1 holds for

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{|X}} & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & \widehat{G} & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

over the field $\mathbb{L}$. Thus Theorem 3.5 applies and yields that

$$[\![\varphi, \kappa_{|X}, \mathbb{L}]\!] = [S] \cdot [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{L}]\!],$$

where $[S]$ is the equivalence class of the algebra $S = (i\mathbb{L}Ni)^Y$, with

$$i = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{L})} (e_\vartheta e_\varphi)^\alpha.$$

It remains to show that $[S] = 1$, in other words, S is a trivial G-algebra over $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)$.

Pick G-algebras $A \in [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{L}]\!]$ and $B \in [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|X}, \mathbb{L}]\!]$ with $A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}(\varphi)} S \cong B$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. By Lemma 3.4, we know that S is central simple of dimension n^2 over $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)$, where $n = [\vartheta_Y, \varphi] \not\equiv 0 \mod p$. Since $\mathbb{L}(\zeta)$ is a splitting field of all groups involved, it follows that $A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}(\zeta)$ is a direct product of matrix rings over $\mathbb{L}(\zeta)$, and $A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)} \mathbb{L}(\zeta)$ is a matrix ring over $\mathbb{L}(\zeta)$. The same holds for B, and thus $S \otimes_{\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)} \mathbb{L}(\zeta) \cong \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{L}(\zeta))$. Since $|\mathbb{L}(\zeta) : \mathbb{L}(\vartheta)|$ is a power of p and n is prime to p, it follows that $S \cong \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$.

The action of G on $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)$ extends naturally to an action of G on $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$. Via some fixed isomorphism $S \cong \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$, we get an action of G on S. We write

$\varepsilon\colon G \to \operatorname{Aut} S$ for this action, that is we write $s^{\varepsilon(g)}$ to denote the effect of this action. This action is different from the G-algebra action on $S = (i\mathbb{L}Ni)^Y$ we already have, but both actions agree on $\mathbf{Z}(S) \cong \mathbb{L}(\vartheta)$.

It follows that for $g \in G$, the map $S \ni s \mapsto s^{\varepsilon(g^{-1})g}$ is an $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)$-algebra automorphism of S. Thus there is $\sigma(g) \in S^*$ such that $s^{\varepsilon(g^{-1})g} = \sigma(g)^{-1}s\sigma(g)$ for all $s \in S$, or $s^g = s^{\varepsilon(g)\sigma(g)}$. By comparing left and right hand side of

$$s^{\varepsilon(xy)\sigma(xy)} = s^{xy} = s^{\varepsilon(x)\sigma(x)\varepsilon(y)\sigma(y)} = s^{\varepsilon(xy)\sigma(x)^{\varepsilon(y)}\sigma(y)},$$

we see that

$$\sigma(x)^{\varepsilon(y)}\sigma(y) = \alpha(x,y)\sigma(xy) \text{ for some } \alpha(x,y) \in \mathbb{L}(\vartheta)^*.$$

Thus $\sigma\colon G \to S \cong \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$ is a twisted projective representation and $\alpha \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{L}(\vartheta)^*)$.

Taking determinants in $\sigma(x)^{\varepsilon(y)}\sigma(y) = \alpha(x,y)\sigma(xy)$ yields that α^n is a coboundary. We know also that $\alpha^{|G|}$ is a coboundary. Since $|G|$ is a power of p and n is prime to p, it follows that α itself is a coboundary. So after multiplying σ with a coboundary, we may assume that $\sigma(x)^{\varepsilon(y)}\sigma(y) = \sigma(xy)$ for all x, $y \in G$.

Now we can show that S is a trivial G-algebra. Let $V = \mathbb{L}(\vartheta)^n$ and view V as a right S-module via our fixed isomorphism $S \cong \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$. We have to define a right G-module structure on V such that $S \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)}(V)$ as G-algebras over $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)$.

The action of G on $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)$ defines an action of G on V which we denote by $v \mapsto v^g$. It has the property

$$(vs)^g = v^g s^{\varepsilon(g)} \quad \text{for } s \in S.$$

Define $v \circ g := v^g\sigma(g)$. Then

$$(v \circ x) \circ y = (v^x\sigma(x))^y\sigma(y) = v^{xy}\sigma(x)^{\varepsilon(y)}\sigma(y) = v^{xy}\sigma(xy) = v \circ (xy)$$

for $v \in V$ and x, $y \in G$, and $(v\lambda) \circ g = (v \circ g)\lambda^g$ for $v \in V$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{L}(\vartheta)$ and $g \in G$. Thus V is a right module over the crossed product $\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)G$. The computation

$$\begin{aligned}\Big((v \circ g^{-1})s\Big) \circ g = \Big(v^{g^{-1}}\sigma(g^{-1})s\Big)^g\sigma(g) &= v\sigma(g^{-1})^{\varepsilon(g)}s^{\varepsilon(g)}\sigma(g)\\ &= v\sigma(g)^{-1}s^{\varepsilon(g)}\sigma(g)\\ &= vs^g\end{aligned}$$

shows that $S \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{L}(\vartheta)}(V)$ as G-algebras. This finishes the proof that $[S] = 1$ in $\operatorname{BrCliff}(G, \mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$. □

8. Proof of the theorem for elementary groups

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 0. We need the following easy lemma which states that semi-invariance is in some sense transitive:

8.1. **Lemma.** *Let M, N be normal subgroups of $\widehat{G}$ with $M \leqslant N$. Suppose that $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr} M$ is $\mathbb{F}$-semi-invariant in N and that $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr}(N \mid \tau)$ is $\mathbb{F}$-semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$. Then τ is $\mathbb{F}$-semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \widehat{G}$. There is $\alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\vartheta^{g\alpha} = \vartheta$. We may extend α to $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta, \tau)$. For simplicity, we denote this element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\vartheta, \tau)/\mathbb{F})$ by α, too. Then $\tau^{g\alpha}$ is a constituent of $\vartheta_M^{g\alpha} = \vartheta_M$, and so there is $n \in N$ such that $\tau^{g\alpha} = \tau^n$. Since τ is semi-invariant in N, there is $\beta \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\tau)/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\tau^{n\beta} = \tau$. Thus $\tau^{g\alpha\beta} = \tau$. Since $g \in \widehat{G}$ was arbitrary, τ is semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$ over $\mathbb{F}$. □

Let $\widehat{G}$ be an $\mathbb{F}$-elementary group with respect to some prime p. Then $\widehat{G} = PC$ where P is a Sylow p-subgroup and C is a normal cyclic subgroup of p'-order. In the next result, we will only need that $\widehat{G}$ has a normal abelian subgroup C such that $\widehat{G}/C$ is nilpotent. In this case, every irreducible character of $\widehat{G}$ is induced from a linear character of some subgroup containing the normal subgroup C [9, Theorem 6.22]. (This is even true if $\widehat{G}/C$ is supersolvable, but we will also need the nilpotence of $\widehat{G}/C$.)

8.2. **Theorem.** *Let*

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\kappa} G \longrightarrow 1$$

be an exact sequence of groups. Assume that there is a normal abelian subgroup $C \leqslant N$ such that $\widehat{G}/C$ is nilpotent. Suppose that $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Irr}\, N$ is $\mathbb{F}$-semi-invariant in $\widehat{G}$. Then there are subgroups $A \leqslant M \leqslant H$ of $\widehat{G}$ and a linear character $\lambda \in \mathrm{Lin}\, A$ such that

(a) $A \trianglelefteq H$, $\widehat{G} = HN$ *and* $C \leqslant A \leqslant M = H \cap N$,
(b) $\vartheta = \lambda^N$,
(c) $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\varphi)$, *where* $\varphi = \lambda^M$,
(d) $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|H}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$.



Our proof of this result follows closely the proof of Proposition 3.6 in Yamada's book [28]. (Proposition 3.6 in Yamada's book is the case $N = \widehat{G}$ (that is, $G = 1$) of Theorem 8.2,(a)–(c).)

Proof of Theorem 8.2. Consider the set of all subgroups $T \subseteq N$ such that

(1) $C \leqslant T \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$,
(2) there is $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}\, T$ such that $\vartheta = \tau^N$,
(3) for all $n \in N$, there is $\alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\tau)/\mathbb{F})$ such that $\tau^{n\alpha} = \tau$.

Let T be minimal among the subgroups having Properties (1)–(3). (Note that $T = N$ is such a subgroup.) If the corresponding τ is linear, we set $A = T$, $\lambda = \tau$, $H = \widehat{G}$ and $M = N$. Then $\varphi = \lambda^M = \vartheta$ and (a)–(d) of the proposition are trivially true. So in the case where $\tau(1) = 1$, the proof is finished.

Assume $\tau(1) > 1$. Our first goal is to find a proper subgroup $T_0 < T$ which is normal in $\widehat{G}$ and such that τ is induced from a character $\tau_0 \in \operatorname{Irr} T_0$. The non-linear character τ is induced from a linear character of some subgroup B such that $C \leqslant B < T$. Let S be a maximal subgroup of T containing B, and thus C. Then τ is induced from some character of S. Since $S \lhd T$ (because T/C is nilpotent), we see that $\tau_{T\setminus S} = 0$. Set

$$U = \bigcap_{g \in \widehat{G}} S^g.$$

Then $C \leqslant U \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$. We claim that τ vanishes on $T \setminus U$. Since τ vanishes outside S, it follows that τ^g vanishes outside S^g for $g \in \widehat{G}$. But τ^g and τ are Galois conjugate (by Lemma 8.1), and thus τ vanishes outside S^g. Since $g \in \widehat{G}$ was arbitrary, it follows that τ vanishes outside U as claimed.

Since $U, T \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{G}/U$ is nilpotent, there exists $T_0 \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$ such that $U \leqslant T_0 < T$ and $|T : T_0|$ is a prime p. Since τ vanishes outside U, it vanishes outside T_0. Since $|T : T_0| = p$, we see that $\tau = \tau_0^T$ for some $\tau_0 \in \operatorname{Irr} T_0$. We have found a T_0 as wanted.

In the following, set

$$\widehat{G}_0 = \{g \in \widehat{G} \mid \exists \alpha \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\tau_0)/\mathbb{F})\colon \tau_0^{g\alpha} = \tau_0\},$$

$N_0 = \widehat{G}_0 \cap N$ and $\vartheta_0 = \tau_0^{N_0}$. Then $\vartheta_0^N = \tau_0^N = (\tau_0^T)^N = \tau^N = \vartheta$ and $\vartheta_0 \in \operatorname{Irr}(N_0 \mid \tau_0)$ is the unique element with $\vartheta_0^N = \vartheta$ (Lemma 3.7). Corollary 3.8, applied to the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\kappa} G \longrightarrow 1$$

and the normal subgroup $T_0 \subseteq N$, yields that the following hold:

(a) $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}_0 N$,
(b) $\vartheta = \vartheta_0^N$,
(c) $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta_0)$,
(d) $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\vartheta_0, \kappa_{|\widehat{G}_0}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$.

(We can also show that $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}_0 T$ by applying Corollary 3.8 to the extension $T \to \widehat{G} \to \widehat{G}/T$, but actually we will not need this for the proof.)

Note that (1) and (2) hold for T_0 and τ_0. It follows from the minimality of T that (3) does not hold for T_0, which means that $N_0 < N$. Thus we may apply induction (on $|N/C|$) to conclude that there are subgroups $A \leqslant M \leqslant H \leqslant \widehat{G}_0$ and $\lambda \in \operatorname{Lin} A$ such that Properties (a)–(d) hold with $\widehat{G}$, N, ϑ replaced by $\widehat{G}_0$, N_0, ϑ_0. It follows that $\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}_0 N = HN$ and $M = H \cap N_0 = H \cap \widehat{G}_0 \cap N = H \cap N$ (see Figure 2), that $\vartheta = \vartheta_0^N = \lambda^N$, and that $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta_0) = \mathbb{F}(\varphi)$. Finally, we have that

$$[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\vartheta_0, \kappa_{|\widehat{G}_0}, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|H}, \mathbb{F}]\!]. \qquad \square$$



FIGURE 2. Proof of Theorem 8.2

8.3. **Corollary.** *In the situation of Theorem 8.2,* $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.4, it suffices to show that $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$. By Theorem 8.2, we have $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] = [\![\varphi, \kappa_{|H}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$, and it is obvious that the conditions in Theorem 8.2 yield that $[\![\varphi, \kappa_{|H}, \mathbb{F}]\!]$ is in $\mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{M}}(G, \mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$. (See Definition 4.1.) □

8.4. *Remark.* In fact, the construction from the proof of Theorem 8.2 itself yields an M that also has the following properties:

(e) $M = \{n \in N \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}) \colon \lambda^{n\alpha} = \lambda\}$,
(f) $M/A \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, (e) together with $\lambda^M \in \operatorname{Irr} M$ implies (f).

To see (e), let N_0 be as in the proof above and write $\widehat{M} = \{n \in N \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta)) \colon \lambda^{n\alpha} = \lambda\}$. By induction we may assume that $\widehat{M} \cap N_0 = M$. Since $\lambda^N = \vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr} N$ and $\lambda^{N_0} = \vartheta_0 \in \operatorname{Irr} N_0$, we know from (f) respective Lemma 4.2 that $\widehat{M}/A \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta))$ and $M/A \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}(\lambda)/\mathbb{F}(\vartheta_0))$. But since $\mathbb{F}(\vartheta) = \mathbb{F}(\vartheta_0)$, it follows that $M = \widehat{M}$. The proof is finished. □

9. Proof of the main theorem

For the convenience of the reader, we summarize here how Theorem A from the introduction follows from the various results proved so far. We restate Theorem A, using the notation introduced in Section 4.

9.1. **Theorem.** *Let* $\mathbb{E}$ *be a field on which the finite group* G *acts. Then* $\mathcal{SC}(G, \mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{E})$.

Proof. Let $a = [\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{F}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}(G, \mathbb{E})$. We have to show that $a \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{E})$. By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, we may assume that a has p-power order and that G is a p-group. By Corollary 6.6, it suffices to show that $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{L}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$, where $\mathbb{L}$ is such that a splitting field of $\widehat{G}$ and all its subgroups has p-power degree over

$\mathbb{L}$. Then Corollary 7.3 yields that we may assume that $\widehat{G}$ is $\mathbb{L}$-elementary for the prime p. In this case, Corollary 8.3 yields that $[\![\vartheta, \kappa, \mathbb{L}]\!] \in \mathcal{SC}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbb{L}(\vartheta))$. □

References

1. Everett C. Dade. *Isomorphisms of Clifford extensions*. Ann. of Math. (2) **92**, no. 3 (1970), 375–433. DOI: 10.2307/1970626. JSTOR: 1970626. MR0269750 (42 #4645) (cit. on p. 18).
2. Everett C. Dade. *Character values and Clifford extensions for finite groups*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **29** (1974), 216–236. DOI: 10.1112/plms/s3-29.2.216. MR0382416 (52 #3300) (cit. on p. 4).
3. Everett C. Dade. *Extending irreducible modules*. J. Algebra **72**, no. 2 (1981), 374–403. DOI: 10.1016/0021-8693(81)90300-8. MR641332 (84g:20009) (cit. on p. 4).
4. Everett C. Dade. *Clifford theory and Galois theory. I*. J. Algebra **319**, no. 2 (2008), 779–799. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.12.034. MR2381809 (2008j:20015) (cit. on p. 4).
5. Benson Farb and R. Keith Dennis. *Noncommutative Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 144. Springer, New York et. al., 1993. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0889-1. MR1233388 (94j:16001) (cit. on p. 8).
6. Allen Herman and Dipra Mitra. *Equivalence and the Brauer-Clifford group for G-algebras over commutative rings*. Commun. Algebra **39**, no. 10 (2011), 3905–3915. DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2011.604242. MR2845610 (2012i:16038). Zbl: 1246.16017 (cit. on pp. 5, 6).
7. Gerhard Hochschild. *Automorphisms of simple algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69**, no. 2 (1950), 292–301. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1950-0037834-4. JSTOR: 1990359. MR0037834 (12,315b) (cit. on p. 14).
8. I. Martin Isaacs. *Extensions of group representations over arbitrary fields*. J. Algebra **68**, no. 1 (1981), 54–74. DOI: 10.1016/0021-8693(81)90284-2. MR604293 (82f:20025) (cit. on pp. 2, 4, 6, 11).
9. I. Martin Isaacs. *Character Theory of Finite Groups*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1994. corrected reprint. MR1280461 (cit. on pp. 1, 9, 18, 22).
10. Frieder Ladisch. *Character correspondences induced by magic representations*. (Aug. 2011). arXiv: 1004.4538v2 [math.RT] (cit. on p. 7).
11. Frieder Ladisch. *Corestriction for algebras with group action*. J. Algebra **439** (2015), 438–453. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.06.015. arXiv: 1409.3166 [math.RA] (cit. on pp. 13, 17).
12. Frieder Ladisch. *The Schur–Clifford subgroup of the Brauer–Clifford group*. J. Algebra **440** (2015), 504–530. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.06.017. arXiv: 1409.3210 [math.GR] (cit. on pp. 2, 10, 12, 13, 17).
13. Gabriel Navarro. *The McKay conjecture and Galois automorphisms*. Ann. of Math. (2) **160**, no. 3 (2004), 1129–1140. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2004.160.1129. JSTOR: 3597334. MR2144975 (2005m:20022) (cit. on p. 1).
14. Udo Riese. *Schur-Indizes in endlichen Gruppenerweiterungen*. Doctoral thesis. Universität Tübingen, 1995 (cit. on p. 4).

15. Udo Riese and Peter Schmid. *Schur indices and Schur groups, II*. J. Algebra **182**, no. 1 (1996), 183–200. DOI: 10.1006/jabr.1996.0167. MR1388863 (97e:20009) (cit. on pp. 2, 4, 9).
16. Peter Schmid. *Extending irreducible representations of normal subgroups*. J. Algebra **94**, no. 1 (1985), 30–51. DOI: 10.1016/0021-8693(85)90203-0. MR789540 (86m:20011) (cit. on p. 4).
17. Peter Schmid. *Clifford theory of simple modules*. J. Algebra **119**, no. 1 (1988), 185–212. DOI: 10.1016/0021-8693(88)90083-X. MR971353 (89k:20014) (cit. on pp. 4, 18).
18. Jean-Pierre Serre. *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*. Trans. from the French by Leonard Scott. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42. Springer, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1977. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4684-9458-7. MR0450380 (56 #8675) (cit. on p. 18).
19. Alexandre Turull. *Clifford theory with Schur indexes*. J. Algebra **170**, no. 2 (1994), 661–677. DOI: 10.1006/jabr.1994.1359. MR1302862 (95h:20012) (cit. on p. 4).
20. Alexandre Turull. *Above the Glauberman correspondence*. Adv. Math. **217**, no. 5 (2008), 2170–2205. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2007.10.001. MR2388091 (2009a:20017) (cit. on p. 4).
21. Alexandre Turull. *Strengthening the McKay conjecture to include local fields and local Schur indices*. J. Algebra **319**, no. 12 (2008), 4853–4868. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.12.035. MR2423808 (2009g:20018) (cit. on p. 1).
22. Alexandre Turull. *Brauer-Clifford equivalence of full matrix algebras*. J. Algebra **321**, no. 12 (2009), 3643–3658. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2009.02.018. MR2517806 (2010e:20018) (cit. on p. 4).
23. Alexandre Turull. *The Brauer-Clifford group*. J. Algebra **321**, no. 12 (2009), 3620–3642. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2009.02.019. MR2517805 (2010c:20006) (cit. on pp. 2–6, 10).
24. Alexandre Turull. *The Brauer-Clifford group of G-rings*. J. Algebra **341**, no. 1 (2011), 109–124. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.05.040. MR2824512 (2012h:20018) (cit. on pp. 2, 4, 5).
25. Alexandre Turull. *Clifford theory and endoisomorphisms*. J. Algebra **371** (2012), 510–520. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2012.07.053. MR2975409 (cit. on p. 7).
26. Alexandre Turull. *Endoisomorphisms yield module and character correspondences*. J. Algebra **394** (2013), 7–50. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.06.027. MR3092710 (cit. on p. 7).
27. Alexandre Turull. *The strengthened Alperin–McKay conjecture for p-solvable groups*. J. Algebra **394** (2013), 79–91. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.06.028. MR3092712 (cit. on p. 4).
28. Toshihiko Yamada. *The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 397. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0061703. MR0347957 (50 #456) (cit. on pp. 5, 18, 22).

Universität Rostock, Institut für Mathematik, Ulmenstr. 69, Haus 3, 18057 Rostock, Germany
E-mail address: frieder.ladisch@uni-rostock.de